May 2009 Impact Assessment Phase

# ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Bravo Integration Project – Bravo 4: Construction of two 400 kV Power Lines from Kendal Power Station to Zeus Substation

**DEAT REF NO: 12/12/20/1093** 

**Proponent: Eskom Transmission** 

# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Project 10637

# **PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT**

ii

The growing demand for electricity is placing increasing pressure on Eskom's existing power generation and transmission capacity. Eskom is committed to implementing a Sustainable Energy Strategy that complements the policies and strategies of National Government. Eskom aims to improve the reliability of electricity supply to the country, and in particular to provide for the growth in electricity demand in the Gauteng and Mpumalanga provinces. For this reason, Eskom obtained environmental authorisation to construct the new 400 kV Bravo (Kusile) coal-fired Power Station between Bronkhorstspruit and Witbank in 2007. Construction of this power station has already commenced.

Due to this construction, the new Bravo Power Station needs to be integrated with the existing Eskom electricity infrastructure. This proposed project is to construct two new 400 kV overhead power lines from the Kendal power station to the Zeus Substation. Each of these lines is approximately 100 km in length.

Eskom Transmission has appointed Zitholele Consulting (Pty) Ltd, an independent company, to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to evaluate the potential environmental and social impacts of the proposed project.

The first phase of the EIA (Scoping Phase) has been completed. The second phase of an EIA is the Impact Assessment Phase. In the Scoping Phase public issues, concerns and suggestions were identified and these were used to shape the terms of references for the specialist studies that were conducted. The findings of the specialists are being reported on in this document – the culmination of the second phase (Impact Assessment Phase) of the EIA.

An EIA must show the authorities, the stakeholders and the proponent what the impact of the proposal on a particular alternative will be in environmental, economical and social terms and provide informed findings of the specialist investigations.

In accordance with the EIA Regulations, Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) must be given the opportunity to verify that all the issues mentioned during the stakeholder engagement process, have been addressed in the Impact Assessment. For that reason, a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) is compiled and presented to the I&AP' during a public meeting. A DEIR was made available for public review from 23 March to 30 April 2009. After public review, the DEIR was updated and is being submitted to the lead authority, the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) as the Final EIR, for a decision about the project.

After public review, the Draft EIR will be updated and submitted to the lead authority, the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) for a decision about the project.

#### **Summary of what the Final Environmental Impact Report Contains**

This report contains the following for comment by stakeholders:

- A complete overview of the proposed project;
- An overview of the EIA process followed;
- A complete summary of the Public Participation (PP) Process followed;
- Project alternatives including the "No-go" (no development) option;
- An overview of the baseline receiving environment;
- The assessment by specialists of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project along with the mitigation measures to reduce the negative impacts and enhance the positive impacts; and
- An Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

#### AN EIA CONSISTS OF SEVERAL PHASES

Scoping Phase To identify issues, to focus the EIA  

 Impact Assessment Phase

 Detailed studies of potential impacts, positive and negative



Decision-making Phase Proponent and authorities

use EIA findings to decide if project goes ahead

10637

#### **OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT**

On 5 June 2007, Eskom received environmental authorisation (12/12/20/807) from the National Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) to proceed with the construction of the new Kusile (then Bravo) Power Station, between Bronkhorstspruit and Witbank in Mpumalanga. The EIA for the Bravo Power Station was conducted by a consulting company called Ninham Shand (Pty) Ltd. A copy of the RoD can be accessed form the Eskom website (<u>www.Eskom.co.za</u>) or <u>www.deat.gov.za</u>.

On 29 February 2008 Eskom awarded contracts for its "Bravo Project", a coal-fired power station to be built near Emalahleni in Mpumalanga by 2017. Site clearance for this station has already started. The first unit is planned to be online by 2013. The proposed Bravo Integration Project is necessary to integrate and connect Bravo Power Station (which will aid in the delivery of additional electricity supply) into the existing Eskom electricity network.

#### **Bravo Integration Project**

The Bravo Integration project consists of the following five components:

#### Phase 1: Sol - Camden By-Pass Power Line.

The intention of Bravo 1 is to build two 400 kV by-pass lines for Zeus substation, the two 400 kV lines from Sol Substation and the two 400 kV power lines from Camden Power Station will be disconnected from Zeus substation and joined to each other to form two Camden- Sol 400 kV power lines. The location of the two by-pass lines is planned to be within approximately 10 km radius of the Zeus substation. The project is located within the Govan Mbeki District Municipality.

#### Phase 2: Apollo and Kendal loop in and loop out lines

Eskom propose to construct four new 400 kV overhead power lines, located within the Emalahleni Local Municipality in Mpumalanga, to loop in and out of Bravo Power Station. The existing Kendal-Apollo line will be looped in and out of Bravo to form the Bravo-Apollo and Bravo-Kendal lines. In addition, the existing Duvha-Minerva 400 kV overhead power line will be looped in and out of Bravo Power Station, to form the Bravo-Duvha and Bravo-Minerva lines. The study area in which the alternatives were selected is within the 10 km radius surrounding the new Bravo Power Station and each of the alternative 400 kV power lines will be not exceed 10 km in length.

#### Phase 3: Construction of a 400 kV power line from Bravo Power Station to Lulamisa Substation

In order for the Bravo Power Station to be integrated within the existing Eskom infrastructure, Eskom propose to construct a new 400 kV power line from the new Bravo Power Station to the existing Lulamisa substation, near Diepsloot. This line will be approximately 150 km in length. The construction of this proposed 400 kV power line is aimed to ensure sufficient electricity supply to the Diepsloot and

Johannesburg North areas, where currently frequent electricity shortages are experienced. The alternative Bravo power line corridors are located on the eastern Highveld of Southern Africa. The corridors cover an area from Witbank in the east, to Diepsloot in the west.

#### Phase 4: Two new 70 km Kendal – Zeus 400 kV Power Lines

Eskom propose to construct two new 400 kV power lines, one from Bravo to Zeus and the other one from the Kendal Power Station (near Ogies) to the Zeus substation (near Secunda), Mpumalanga. These lines will run parallel to each other and will be approximately 70 km's in length. The three alternative route corridors will be 5 km's wide. These three alternative corridors merge into two corridors approximately 30 km's from the Zeus substation.

#### Phase 5: New 10 km Bravo-Vulcan Power Line

Eskom propose to construct a 400 kV overhead power line, by-passing the existing Duvha substation, to form a new Bravo-Vulcan line near Emahlahleni, Mpumalanga. This by-pass line is planned to be approximately 10 km in length. The area to be investigated for this by-pass line is a 10 km radius surrounding the existing Duvha substation.

Certain limitations do exist for the proposed power line; nevertheless, it does not influence the alternative selection, as this section of the route has only one alternative available. It is however, recommended that a detailed route analysis be undertaken by Eskom, as this report is part of an application for the entire corridor, more detailed work will be required.

#### Purpose of this Report

This report constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report, a key component of the Environmental Authorisation Process for the construction of two new 400 kV power lines, one from Bravo Power Station to Zeus Substation and the other one from the Kendal Power Station (near Ogies) to the Zeus Substation (near Secunda), Mpumalanga.

#### Environmental Impact Assessment Process

An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed Bravo Integration Project –Bravo 4: Construction of two 400 kV Power Lines from Kendal Power Station to Zeus Substation has been undertaken in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations promulgated in terms of Section 24 (5) of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA). This EIA was undertaken in order to identify environmental issues associated with the proposed project, and determine which issues require further investigation.

To ensure effective public participation in this EIA phase, the public participation process was implemented in stages. This process included the identification of, and consultation with all relevant stakeholders, as well as ongoing communication and networking with I&APs throughout the duration of

the project. Issues and concerns raised during this process were compiled in an Issues and Response Report, and included within the Scoping, and this Final Environmental Impact Report.

The Draft Environmental Impact Report was made available for public review. During this review period, public feedback meetings were held to discuss the report. Comments received from the public have been captured within this Final Environmental Impact Report, which is to be presented to the National Departments of Environment Affairs and Tourism for comment, consideration, and authorisation.

#### **Conclusion**

This section provides a short sensitivity matrix, which compares the three different alternatives and their associated environmental sensitivities.

Sensitivity	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Geology	None	None	None
Climate	None	None	None
Topography	None	None	None
Surface Water	Most river crossings, traverses along several major streams.	Traverses along several major streams and in close proximity	Avoids the bulk of the surface water bodies.
Soils & Land Capability	Clay soils dominate	Clay soils dominate	Agricultural soils dominate
Flora	Traverses through sensitive vegetation	Traverses through sensitive vegetation	Limits interaction with sensitive vegetation.
Fauna	Potential high impact on avifauna	Potential high impact on avifauna	Smallest impact to avifauna
Wetlands	Traverses along wetlands and streams	Traverses along wetlands and streams	Limits interaction with wetlands
Visual	Existing impact	Limited existing impact	New impact
Heritage	Moderate	Moderate	Low
Social	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Total Sensitivities	7	7	3

On the basis of the matrix presented above, it is suggested that the Bravo 4 Alternative 3 be utilised as the preferred alternative for the proposed project, as it has the least sensitive features associated with the alignment.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

v

# SECTION

## PAGE

1	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
	1.1	Background Information	1
	1.2	Purpose and Motivation for the Proposed Project	2
	1.3	The Project Team	4
	1.4	Project Progress	5
2	LEGA	L CONTEXT	8
	2.1	National Environmental Management Act (No 107 of 1998)	8
	2.2	Environmental Conservation Act (Act No 73 of 1989)	10
	2.3	Additional Legal Requirements and Frameworks	11
3	ENVIF	RONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS	13
	3.1	Study Approach and Progress to Date	13
	3.2	Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report and Environmental	
		Management Plan	18
	3.3	Announcement of opportunity to comment on findings	19
	3.4	Distribution	19
	3.5	Methods of public review and obtaining comments	20
	3.6	Issues and Response Report and acknowledgements	20
4	ISSUE	ES AND CONCERNS RAISED	22
	4.1	Authorities	22
	4.2	Stakeholders	22
5	DESC	RIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	
5	<b>DESC</b> 5.1	RIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	23
5			<b>23</b>
5	5.1	Activity to be undertaken	<b>23</b> 23 23
5 6	5.1 5.2 5.3	Activity to be undertaken	<b>23</b> 23 23 23
-	5.1 5.2 5.3	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities	23 23 23 23 23 29
-	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b>	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED	23 23 23 23 23 29
-	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED Project Alternatives	23 23 23 23 29 29 29
-	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives	23 23 23 29 29 29 30
-	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives.	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32
6	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33
6	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b>	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Project Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>FLINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b>	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33
6	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>LINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment	23 23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 33 56
6	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>HINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 33 56 97
6	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6 7.2 7.3	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>HINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability Cultural Environment	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 56 97 101
6 7	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6 7.2 7.3	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>FLINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability Cultural Environment Socio-Economic Environment	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 56 97 101 123
6 7	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6 7.2 7.3 <b>IMPA</b>	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>FLINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability Cultural Environment Socio-Economic Environment <b>CT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b>	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 56 97 101 123
6 7	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6 7.2 7.3 <b>IMPAC</b> 8.1	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Route Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>FLINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability Cultural Environment Socio-Economic Environment <b>CT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b>	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 56 97 101 123 123 124
6 7	5.1 5.2 5.3 <b>TECH</b> 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 <b>BASE</b> 7.1 7.1.6 7.2 7.3 <b>IMPAC</b> 8.1 8.2	Activity to be undertaken Location Description of the Development Activities <b>NOLOGY REVIEW / ALTERNATIVES INVESTIGATED</b> Project Alternatives Project Alternatives Posign Alternatives Design Alternatives The No-Go Alternative <b>LINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT</b> Bio-Physical Environment Land Capability Cultural Environment Socio-Economic Environment <b>CT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b> Significance Assessment Spatial Scale	23 23 23 29 29 29 30 32 33 33 56 97 101 123 123 124 125

	8.6	Quantitative Description of Impacts
	8.7	Notation of Impacts
9	ALTEF	RNATIVE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS128
10	IMPAC	T ASSESSMENT129
	10.1	Construction Phase
	10.2	Operational Phase
	10.3	Decommisioning Phase
	10.4	Impact Assessment Summary156
11	ENVIR	ONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN161
	11.1	Introduction161
	11.2	Purpose of this EMP
	11.3	Objectives of the EMP161
	11.4	Legal Context
	11.5	Eskom and Contractor Commitment163
	11.6	Reporting Structure
	11.7	Responsibilities and Duties
	11.8	Training
	11.9	Commissioning of Tenders for the Project
	11.10	Environmental Authorisation167
	11.11	Environmental Management Measures167
	11.12	General Requirements during Construction244
	11.13	Scheduling of Management Measures245
	11.14	Site Documentation / Monitoring / Reporting245
	11.15	Environmental Contact Persons
	11.16	Emergency Numbers249
	11.17	Oil Spill Contact Numbers

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Environmental Assessment Practitioners
Figure 2: Overview of the Bravo Integration Project
Figure 3: Technical and public Participation process and activities that comprise the environmental impact assessment for the proposed construction of two new 400 kV power lines from Kendal power station to Zeus Substation
Figure 4: Proposed Alternative Routes for the Kendal - Zeus power Lines
Figure 5: Overhead versus Underground Power Lines
Figure 6: Dolerite (left) and sandstone (right) are the two main geologies found on site
Figure 7: Regional Geology
Figure 8: Hail stones from a storm event in November 2008 (Secunda)
Figure 9: Surface water and drainage features of the northern section of the site
Figure 10: Surface water and drainage features of the southern section of the site
Figure 11: Photographs of the surface water resources encountered on site
Figure 12: Topography of Site
Figure 13: Soil Type Map of the northern section of the site45
Figure 14: Soil Type Map of the southern section of the site
Figure 15: Hutton and Clovelly soil forms (Soil Classification, 1991)47
Figure 16: Soft plinthic B-horizon
Figure 17: Avalon and Bainsvlei Soil Forms (Soil Classification, 1991)48
Figure 18: Glencoe Soil Form (Soil Classification, 1991)49
Figure 19: Shortlands Soil Form (Soil Classification, 1991)
Figure 20: Mispah soil form (Memoirs on the Natural Resources of South Africa, no. 15, 1991)51

Figure 21: Milkwood soil form (Soil Classification, 1991)	51
Figure 22: Dresden Soil Form	52
Figure 23: Wasbank, Kroonstad, Longlands and Westleigh Soil Forms (Soil Classification).	53
Figure 24: Katspruit and Willowbrook Soil forms (Soil Classification, 1991)	54
Figure 25: Rensburg and Arcadia soil forms (Soil Classification, 1991)	55
Figure 26: Inhoek and Steendal soil forms (Soil Classification, 1991)	55
Figure 27: Sterkspruit Soil Form (Soil Classification, 1991)	56
Figure 28: Land Capability Map of the northern section	
Figure 29: Land Capability Map of the southern section	
Figure 30: Land Uses encountered in the study site	60
Figure 31: Land Use Map of the northern section of the site	61
Figure 32: Land Use Map of the southern section of the site	
Figure 33: Main Vegetation types of the region	66
Figure 34: Hyparrhenia grassland	67
Figure 35: Invaded Grassland	68
Figure 36: Eragrostis Plana Moist Grassland	68
Figure 37: Seepage Area	69
Figure 38: Vegetation units of the northern section of the site	70
Figure 39: Vegetation units of the southern section of the site.	71
Figure 40: Fauna including springbok (left) and Blue wildebeest (right)	75
Figure 41: Dead birds found underneath the existing power lines on site, Lesser Flamingo (I Owl (centre) and Secretary Bird (right).	
Figure 42: Wetland and Riparian Zone Map of the northern section of the site	

Figure 43: Wetland and Riparian Zone Map of the southern section of the site
Figure 44: Biodiversity Rating Map of the northern part of the site
Figure 45: Biodiversity Rating Map of the southern part of the site
Figure 46: View of the existing power line on site90
Figure 47: Viewshed from the Alternative 1 alignment93
Figure 48: Viewshed from the Alternative 2 alignment94
Figure 49: Viewshed from the Alternative 3 alignment95
Figure 50 The project area on the Eastern Highveld in the Mpumalanga Province of South Africa. The Project Area stretches from the Kendal and Matla power stations in the north to the Zeus Substation in the south (below)
Figure 51: The project area near the Zeus Substation on the Eastern Highveld in the Mpumalanga Province
Figure 52: Comparative educational profile (Grouped) for the Study Area107
Figure 53: Land use along the western corridor within the ELM110
Figure 54: Land use along the western corridor within the GMLM112
Figure 55: Development Intervention Areas within the GMLM114
Figure 56: Overview of Monthly Personal Income (2001 and 2007 compared)117
Figure 57: Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs121
Figure 58: Mine dump on site131

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Eskom's Vision.    1
Table 2: Advertisements placed during the announcement phase
Table 3: List of stakeholder meetings that were advertised and held as part of the public review period of the Draft Scoping Report.       17
Table 4: List of public places where the Draft Scoping Report was available
Table 5: Advertisements and announcements to announce the availability of the Draft EnvironmentalImpact Assessment Report and the opportunity to comment on the findings of the EIA19
Table 6: Public meetings to comment on the Draft ENVIRONMENTALImpact REPORT
Table 7: Construction Schedule for the Kendal - Zeus 400 kV overhead power lines.    23
Table 8: Long Term Temperature Data for Kendal (Airshed, 2006)
Table 9: Alternative Sensitivity Matrix
Table 10: Land Capability of the soils on site for agricultural use
Table 11: Avifauna Species List
Table 12: Mammal Species List
Table 13: Red data bird species
Table 14: Biodiversity Rating for the Grazed grassland unit
Table 15: Biodiversity Rating for the moist grassland unit
Table 16: Biodiversity Rating for the disturbed grassland unit
Table 17: Biodiversity Rating for the drainage areas and wetlands    87
Table 18: Static views
Table 19: Dynamic Impact Table    96
Table 20: Visual Impact Matrix

Table 21: Summary of Population Characteristics	.105
Table 22: Summary of Employment and Economic Sectors	.116
Table 23: Overview of Municipal Service Delivery to the Affected Areas	.120
Table 24: Quantitative rating and equivalent descriptors for the impact assessment criteria	.123
Table 25: Description of the significance rating scale	.124
Table 26: Description of the significance rating scale	.124
Table 27: Description of the temporal rating scale	.125
Table 28: Description of the degree of probability of an impact accruing	.125
Table 29: Description of the degree of certainty rating scale	.126
Table 30: Example of Rating Scale.	.126
Table 31: Impact Risk Classes.	.127
Table 32: Alternative Sensitivity Matrix	.128
Table 33: Geology Additional Impact Assessment	.130
Table 34: Soil and Land Capability Initial Impact Assessment	.132
Table 35: Soil Impact	.133
Table 36: Soil and Land Capability Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	.133
Table 37: Soil and Land Capability Additional Impact Assessment – Alternatives 2 and 3	.133
Table 38: Surface Water Initial Impact Rating	.134
Table 39: Surface Water Additional Impact Rating	.135
Table 40: Flora Initial Impact Assessment	.136
Table 41: Flora Impact	.137
Table 42: Flora Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 3	.137

Table 43: Flora Additional Impact Assessment – Alternatives 1 and 2	137
Table 44: Flora Residual Impact Assessment	138
Table 45: Fauna Initial Impact Assessment	139
Table 46: Fauna Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	139
Table 47: Fauna Additional Impact Assessment – Alternative 1	140
Table 48: Visual Impact Assessment – Initial Impact	141
Table 49: Visual Impact Assessment – Additional Impact	141
Table 50: Summary of Category 1 Impacts per Project Phase	145
Table 51: Summary of Category 2 Impacts per Project Phase	146
Table 52: Summary of Category 1 Impacts per Change Process	147
Table 53: Summary of Category 2 Impacts per Project Phase	149
Table 54: Summary of Assessments (category 2 impacts)	150
Table 55: Fauna Additional Impact Rating – Operations	153
Table 56: Fauna Residual Impact Rating	154
Table 57: Summary of the Construction Phase Impacts	157
Table 58: Summary of the Operational Phase Impacts	159
Table 59: Legal Requirements for this emp.	162
Table 60: Environmental Management Measures during construction initiation	169
Table 61: Environmental Management Measures during site establishment and demarcation	172
Table 62: Environmental Management Measures for water management	180
Table 63: Environmental Management Measures for hazardous substance spills	184
Table 64: Environmental Management Measures for the delivery of materials	186

Table 65: Environmental Management Measures for building, civil's and structural steel work 187
Table 66: Environmental Management Measures for circuit breakers and current transformers 190
Table 67: Environmental Management Measures for access roads.    192
Table 68: Environmental Management Measures for waste management
Table 69: Environmental Management Measures for fire prevention.    202
Table 70: Environmental Management Measures for designated storage areas
Table 71: Environmental Management Measures for tower positioning
Table 72: Environmental Management Measures for claims from damages.    213
Table 73: Environmental Management Measures for erosion, donga and river crossings
Table 74: Environmental Management Measures for flora management.    217
Table 75: Environmental Management Measures for fauna management
Table 76: Environmental Management Measures for interaction with adjacent landowners
Table 77: Environmental Management Measures for noise management.    237
Table 78: Environmental Management Measures for infrastructure.    238
Table 79: Environmental Management Measures for archaeology.    240
Table 80: Environmental Management Measures for management of residential property
Table 81: Checklist for monitoring environmental performance on site.    245

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: List of Abbreviations
Appendix B: EIA Application Form II
Appendix C: List of Potentially Affected LandownersIII
Appendix D: Project Locality MapIV
Appendix E: DEAT Authorisation Requirements From FSRV
Appendix F: Interested and Affected Parties DatabaseVI
Appendix G: Background Information Document and stakeholder commentsVII
Appendix H: Site Notices
Appendix I: Newspaper AdvertisementsIX
Appendix J: Personalised letters to all individuals and organisations on the mailing listX
Appendix K: Issues and Response ReportXI
Appendix L: Minutes of Public MeetingXII
Appendix M: EMP Audit Inspection Protocol XIII
Appendix N: EMP Schedule XIV
Appendix O: Transmission Environmental Policy (TPL41-435)XV
Appendix P: Transmission line tower and line constructionXVI
Appendix Q: Stringing of conductors and connection of droppersXVII
Appendix R: Specialist StudiesXVIII
Appendix S: Electric and MAgnetic ReportXIX
Appendix T: TRMSCAAC1 rev 3XX
Appendix U: TRMSCAA1XXI
Appendix V: possible health effects of EMF {Eskom Holdings Limited (Pretorius 2006)}XXII